

THE NEXUS OF PHYSICS, SOIL ARCHITECTURE, ENVIRONMENT AND FOOD SECURITY

Preambles

Mr. Vice-Chancellor Sir, the Principal Officers of the University, distinguished guests, ladies and gentlemen. It is with a grateful heart that I am standing before you today to present the 419th inaugural lecture titled “Nexus of physics, soil architecture, environmental and food security”. This will make the eight inaugural lecture to be delivered from the Department of Soil Science and Land Resources Management. I was slated to deliver this lecture seven years ago, but could not due to some circumstances. This one was almost becoming impossible until I saw the series number of the lecture. The Holy Bible in the book of Philippians chapter 4 verse 19 says: *My God shall supply all your need according to His riches in glory by Christ Jesus*, I knew it was already done.

Introduction

Mr. Vice Chancellor Sir, permit me to dwell a little on my background. I was born at Arigidi in the present Ondo State to Mr. Simeon Abegunde Oyedele and Chief (Mrs) Hannah Idowu Oyedele, both of blessed memory. Both of hem were illiterates. My father was farmer while my mother worked as a cook in the only secondary in my town then. My mother especially was passionate about education. I started my education at Saint Stephen United Primary School Imo/Agbaluku, Arigidi in January 1967. I almost never completed school, as I dropped out of school twice. First, I voluntarily dropped out of school when I was in primary two because I thought my classroom teacher, who was also the school headmaster was unnecessarily hash on me because of my fingernails. I did not realise then that my fingernails grew faster than those of other pupils in the class. When we all trimmed our fingernails on Monday, mine would have grown by Friday and it was always difficult to convince him that I was not just being disobedient. I however found my way back to school again after spending the rest of the remaining two terms going to farm with my father. Luckily, the primary two class was overpopulated and I was moved to join my former classmates who were already in primary three and now avoided the teacher who was still teaching the class. The second time was when I was in year one of Modern School. This was because we were more engaged in farming than studying. A day I cannot forget was Saturday March 3, 1973 which was also my birthday, when my mother gave me the money for secondary school entrance examination form through which I secured admission to Akoko Anglican Grammar School, Arigidi in September 1973. My first encounter with physics in secondary school was an interesting one. It was in Form Three and our teacher was a Youth Corp member who made the subject very uninteresting. Even though I was doing well in other science subjects, due to lack of good counsellors, I decided to drop physics in Class 4. I later discovered this to be a big mistake when it was time to apply for university admission. My course preference then was Engineering, Pharmacy and Agriculture in that order. It was during the completion of the JAMB admission form

that realized that I needed a credit in physics to study either engineering or pharmacy. This narrowed my choice to agriculture. I applied for Soil Science at University of Ife as my first choice and for Agronomy at the University of Ibadan as my second-choice course. It was when I got to the university that I realized that I had to register for physics courses. I initially stayed with an uncle, Late Zachaeus Arowosegbe who was a graduate assistant in the Department of Physics who on checking my WAEC results and observing that I had no physics results, told to get prepared to register for two compulsory physics courses in my first year. I then got to know that I could not run away from physics. I registered for and failed the first physics course which was PHY 105 and that was the only course I ever failed in the university. I later passed it though in the succeeding semester. Among all the soil science courses, I was especially fascinated by soil physics. The soil physics section of the SOS 301 course was what attracted my attention the opportunities available in soil physics. Prof. Patrick Oladipo Aina taught it in a practical way, the open book tests though were difficult, made to love the course and there and then I made up my mind to specialize in soil physics even though I had a poor background in physics. I returned for my master's programme immediately after my Youth Service programme. Prof. Aduayi who was the then head of department informed me to indicate other area of specialization as Prof. Aina who was the only person that could supervise me was on sabbatical leave in the USA and he could not guarantee his return after the sabbatical. I insisted that was my choice and that I will only make another choice only if does not return after the sabbatical. He eventually returned to supervise both my M.Phil. and Ph.D. degrees which I completed in 1988 and 1997 respectively. I was employed as an Assistant Lecturer in 1990 and rose through the ranks to become a professor in 2008. There has always been the hand of God in my life. Despite my dropping out of school twice and going through the route of modern school, I still entered the university at the age of 17. On completion of my M.Phil. degree, I got an offer of employment in Lake Chad Research Institute, Maiduguri and was to be stationed in New Marte (close to Cameroun border). However, as God would have it, my employment letter sent through the DHL was wrongly addressed. There were no email or mobile phones then through which they could contact me. By the time I got the information through someone in the institute, there was already an opening in my department for the position of Soil Physicist. In addition, a few months after my employment, I met my M.Phil. external examiner, Late Prof. Babalola who said he had been trying to contact me to take up an offer under him at the University of Ibadan and I told him I was already employed at OAU.

Soil physics is the branch of soil science that studies the state of matter and transfer of energy in soil. It is the application of physics to the study of soil. It is the study of the physical properties of the soil and the processes that happen within it. It describes and quantifies how energy and matter move through the soil with the use of models to make projections on the impact of this and applied external forces on soil. This branch of soil science involves the application of some fundamental laws and theories of physics to describe soil physical properties and processes. It focuses on the state and transport of matter (primarily water and air) and energy within the soil-plant-atmosphere continuum (Hillel, 1998).

Soil architecture also called soil structure refers to the spatial arrangement of soil particles and the pore spaces between them. Young and Crawford (2004) describe soil architecture as a dynamic self-organising system influenced by biological activity. The building blocks are the soil primary




Figure 1: Influence of soil particle packing on soil pore configuration

particles of sand, silt and clay. These primary (raw) materials are provided by geological processes such as the weathering of rocks and minerals. These materials alone without modification will result in structureless mass as it obtains in the moon and planet Mars. On Earth, these materials are bound together through various chemical and biological processes to form soil aggregates. **Soil architects** are the biological organisms that physically build and maintain the soil's structure. These include the primary architects such as earthworms which are also referred to as ecosystem engineers because they redesign the soil environment. Earthworms ingest soil and organic matter and excrete casts, which are enriched with nutrients and coated with glue-like extracellular polysaccharides that makes the aggregates stable and water resistant (Oyedele, Schjonning and Amusan, 2006). Earthworms also create macro-pores within the soil as they move. These pores serve as highways for water and air within the soil. In addition, they are involved in mixing (bioturbation) soil with remains of plant and animal debris. The micro-architects are the soil fungi and bacteria. The fungi hyphae which are thread-like filaments act as physical net, wrapping around soil particles to bind them into micro-aggregates. They also produce glomalin, which are tough proteins that glue soil particles together. Soil bacteria secrete sticky polysaccharides that act as biological cement. These extracellular polysaccharides bind soil particles together. Plant roots also provide the structural support, while their exudates provide substrate to bacteria and fungi that are engaged in the process of soil building. The tunnels left behind by dead plant roots serve as channels for air, water and transport of nutrients. However, when the soil is overused or polluted, these “architects” are killed, the structures collapse leading to inability of the soil to sustain the ecosystem and the soil technically dies. The Yoruba proverb says: *Erin ku magudu fije, efon ku magudu fije, madugu waku ko re ni ti o je ohun* meaning the elephant dies and was devoured by

magudu, the buffalo dies and was similarly devoured by magudu, but at the death of magudu there was no one to eat it.




Figure 2: Schematic diagram on interlink among the soil forming processes

The link between physics and soil architecture

Mr. Vice-Chancellor Sir, the soil is not just a collection of particles, but a complex, organized porous medium containing myriad of microbial lives. While soil architecture defines the arrangement of soil particles and the pore space between them, physics explains the implications of these arrangements on soil processes such as air and solute transportation, the response of soil to stress and strain and the impacts of soil architecture on the bearing capacity of soil among others. To do this, theoretical models in physics are used to quantify soil physical state and processes occurring in soil.

By the 1830s, Henry Darcy, a French engineer, was tasked with designing a system to bring clean water to the city of Dijon in France. To achieve the goal of bringing water through a 12 km aqueduct to the city, he realized he needed to understand exactly how water moved through sand filters used to purify it. Darcy conducted a series of experiments by pouring water through vertical pipes filled with sand. He measured the pressure of the water and the velocity of flow at the point of discharge. He observed a consistent pattern that regardless of how much sand he used, the velocity of the water flowing through the sand column was directly proportional to the pressure drop and inversely proportional to the distance the water travelled. He however noted that every

type of sand or soil had its own “personality” which he termed the hydraulic conductivity (K). The result is the Darcy’s Law which is now universally useful in irrigation and oil exploration (Darcy, 1856). It is mathematically defined as:

$$\frac{Q}{A} = q = K\delta h/\delta l$$

Where Q is the volumetric water discharge, δh is the pressure drop across the length (l) of the pipe. A is the cross-sectional area of the conducting pipe, while q is the flux or flow velocity.

$$K = \frac{n}{\tau^2}$$

Where n represents the soil porosity and the τ represents the tortuosity of soil pores which is determined by the soil architecture. Thus, the physical boundary conditions of the physical processes taking place in soil are defined by soil architecture.

However, because of the complexity of the soil, this equation is not able to comprehensively describe fluid flow in soil especially under unsaturated soil conditions when all the soil pores are partially filled with water with some spaces occupied by air. As the soil dries, pore connections shrink and K drops exponentially with soil water content, the soil architecture now begins to exert greater influence on soil water flow under unsaturated condition. The pressure potential in saturated flow is therefore replaced by matric potential (negative pressure) and so the equation becomes:

$$q = -k(\theta)\left(\frac{\delta(h_m+z)}{\delta z}\right) \text{ (Richards, 1931)}$$

Where h_m is the matric head

In addition, Vogel et al. (2010) using x-ray micro-tomography demonstrated how a minute change in soil architecture (soil macropore) can increase water flow by several magnitudes in a phenomenon known as preferential flow. This implies that a contaminant in soil can be transported much faster than the predicted average flow rate in larger pores with potential to impair any water body in its flow pathway.

The S-theory, introduced by Dexter (2004) introduced the S theory which is a framework connecting soil physical arrangements (soil architecture) to its quality through the soil water retention curve. Thus, by monitoring the soil retention curve, land managers and scientists can make informed decisions about soil management.

Moldrup et al. (2001) developed a model relating gas diffusivity and soil pore configuration for repacked (disturbed) soil expressed as

$$\frac{D_p}{D_o} = \epsilon^{2.5}/\phi \quad \text{Where } D_p \text{ is the gas diffusion coefficient in soil, } D_o \text{ is the gas diffusion in free air, while } \epsilon \text{ is air-filled porosity, and } \phi \text{ is total soil porosity.}$$

However, for undisturbed soil, the equation was adjusted to take into care of the complexity with the inclusion of b, which is the pore-size distribution index from the Campbell model thus

$$\frac{D_p}{D_o} = \epsilon^2 \left(\frac{\epsilon}{\phi} \right)^{3/b}$$

These two models further demonstrate the extent to which the configuration of the soil pores and shapes of soil aggregates influence the ability of the soil to transmit air and water.

In soil mechanics, which deals with soil strength and rheology, the ability of the soil to resist deformation depends on soil architecture. Mechanical strength of structured soils with comparable internal parameters depends on aggregation, actual and maximum pre-drying, and composition and arrangement of the pore system. For comparable grain size distribution and pore water pressure, soil strength increases with aggregation (i.e., coherent < prismatic < blocky < crumbly, platy < subangular blocky) (Horn and Baumgartl, 2002).

Soil degradation and civilization

The history books often tell us about the great early civilizations and how most of them declined and lost their relevance because they were conquered by later stronger civilizations. What most history books do mention is that the decline of most of these early civilizations started from the poor management of their soils. These societies were fundamentally tied to the health of their soil. The Sumerian civilization for example intensified irrigation in the plains of the rivers Tigris and Euphrates leading to soil salinisation which culminated in crop yield decline forcing farmers to switch from wheat to salt-resistant crops like barley and eventually leading to loss of food security (Abdullah, et al., 2020). Similarly, the Maya kingdom collapsed in 9th to 10th century B.C. was attributed to indiscriminate deforestation leading to soil erosion, poor crop yield and eventually loss of food security (Beach et al., 2006). A country that does not manage its soil properly cannot be food secure. Meanwhile, a non-food secure nation cannot withstand external aggression.

Soil and national security

Mr. Vice-Chancellor Sir, Nigeria is currently engaged in fierce battle with insurgents, most of who operates in uncharted territories of the country. Soil information system is essential and may contribute to our efforts in defeating them. Good information on the soil physical properties such as the soil trafficability, Atterberg limits, cone index, etc., by the military may determine whether a nation winning or losing a battle. The strength of soil is measured as the external pressure the soil can withstand before it yields or before its structure collapses. The load-bearing capacity of a soil is dependent on its strength and moisture contents. This has implications not only for infrastructure like roads, rail lines and buildings that are on the soil, but it also has military implications. For example, the early campaign of Russian army in Ukraine was significantly slowed down by the Ukrainian soils. The deep soils of Ukraine (Chernozems) are some of the best soils for agriculture, but when wet they are not trafficable and could not bear the weight of the heavy Russian tanks, forcing them to move in convoy on paved roads. Unfortunately, weather was unusually warm and

thaw set in early in February and the soil became wet. Thus, their tanks and supply lines became very predictable and vulnerable to attack by Ukrainian drones. In Nigeria, we do not have a detailed information on the properties of our soil across different geographical locations. The few studies done have focused on soil fertility but very few on soil physical properties such as soil strength. A detailed understanding of the mechanical properties of Nigerian soils (such the cone index, trafficability, Atterberg limits, etc.) will be useful for the military in determining the suitability of different vehicles on different terrains in their quest for national security.




Figure 3: A conceptual sketch of the interlinkages of physics with soil architecture, food security and environmental health.

My contributions

The erosion studies

Soil erosion is an energy process. The kinetic energy possessed by falling rainfall impacting on soil surface and detaching soil particles is the precursor for soil erosion. Falling raindrops, due to their height of fall attain their terminal velocities before impacting the soil surface. The kinetic

energy of the raindrops depends on their mass and their terminal velocity at impact. When rainfall intensity exceeds infiltration rate, overland flow is generated and the flowing water begins to acquire sufficient carrying capacities to transport the detached soil particles. We studied the interaction between the rainfall of different intensities and overland flow in causing erosion, with the use of a laboratory rainfall simulator in combination with an overland flow generator (Oyedele and Aina, 1989) (Plate 1). Overland flow constituted a significant erosion on some of the soils causing as much as 16% of the soil loss, while raindrop impacts (rainsplash transportation) alone removed about 20% and this increased with increase in rainfall intensity. Soil erodibility was correlated to some measured soil properties (Table 2). However, the combination of rainfall and overland flow had additive effects in soil removal by erosion. We found out that although overland flow was a minor component of erosion on the three soils studied, it was a significant erosive agent on Iwo soil and may be considered in the evaluation of erodibility of weakly-structured soils studied. The study also confirmed that ethylene glycol was able to significantly reduce soil erosion on the studied soils. An empirical predictive equation relating soil erodibility index to some easily measured soil properties was developed and given as:

$$K_c = 3.2 \times 10^{-3} + 1.52M - 1.99C + 0.69Se + 6.34OM \dots\dots\dots 1$$

Where K_c = soil erodibility index, M = product of fine sand + silt fractions, and medium sand + coarse sand fractions; Se = extractable Fe and Al; OM = soil organic matter; and C = percent clay.



Plate 1: A setup showing (a) overland flow device with soil microplot; and (b) rainfall simulator and runoff collector

Table 1: Correlation coefficient of measured soil erodibility with soil properties

Soil Properties	Correlation coefficient (r)
Soil Fine particle fraction (2 – 20 μm)	0.74*
Cation exchange capacity (CEC)	-0.71*
% Clay	-0.69*
% extractable Fe + Al oxides	-0.66*
% Coarse sand	0.52 ^{n.s}

% Organic matter	-0.61*
Bulk density	0.40 ^{n.s}
pH	0.19 ^{n.s}

Table 2: Influence of rainfall, overland flow and their interactions on soil loss (kg m⁻² cm⁻¹ water applied)

Soil type	Overland flow rate (cm hr ⁻¹)								
	0			33			66		
	Rainfall Intensity (cm hr ⁻¹)								
	0	12.5	15.7	0	12.5	15.7	0	12.5	15.7
Iwo	0	0.024	0.173	0.002	0.042	0.064	0.087	0.095	0.157
Itagunmodi	0	0.019	0.073	0	0.018	0.050	0.031	0.051	0.062
Ondo	0	0.038	0.110	0.002	0.016	0.048	0.021	0.051	0.078
Okemesi	0	0.002	0.005	0	0.001	0.001	0	0.001	0.002

Achieving a sustained management of eroded soils requires information on the magnitude of yield reduction associated with soil loss and the changes in the physical and chemical soil properties resulting from such under the a low technology-input agriculture such as Nigeria. Applying geostatistical tools and factor analyses we also investigated the impact of soil erosion on soil maize productivity across an eroded landscape over a period of three years. Three erosion classes were identified with depth of soil surface ranging from 9 cm to 20 cm at the lower landscape position. Maize grain yields were consistently highest in the deeper Lower landscape positions ranging from 2.8 t ha⁻¹ to 3.5 t ha⁻¹ (Fig 4).




Fig 4: Effects of (a) erosion class and (b) landscape position on maize grain yield (g m⁻²) on

Quantification of the processes of erosion and their impact on soil properties and crop is necessary in order to be able to predict the impact of erosion on crop yield. We quantified the relationships between the depth of soil removal and crop performance. We also used factor analyses to disaggregate the contributions of several factors to soil productivity resulting from the process of erosion and developed the modified erosion prediction model for predicting the vulnerability of soil productivity to erosion (Oyedele and Aina, 1998, Oyedele, 1988). Based on factor analysis,

the topsoil properties were reduced to 5 principal factors. Factor 1 which consists of CEC, exchangeable K and Mg explained about 28% of the variations due to topsoil. Factor 2 which is soil acidity factor explained 22% of maize yield variations due to topsoil while factors 3,4 and 5 were mainly soil physical properties which explained a combined 52% of the yield variations. The following relationship was obtained when the maize yield was regressed against the identified factors in the 1989 growing season with the values in bracket representing the percentage contributions of each independent variable to the explanation of the variations in the dependent variable:

$$Y = 0.06F_1 - 0.26F_2 - 0.08F_3 + 0.15F_4 - 0.31F_5 - 0.02 \quad (R^2 = 0.76^{***}) \dots\dots\dots 2$$

(8%) (39%) (9%) (11%) (43%)

In a further study on the impact of erosion on soil productivity, we incrementally removed topsoil of an Iwo soil by 5 cm, 10 cm, 15 cm and 20 cm to simulate the process of erosion. Maize planted on these plots showed significant yield decrease from 3.2 tha⁻¹ tons on the soil with intact topsoil compared to 0.12 tons/ha on the soil with 20 cm of topsoil removal (Oyedele and Aina, 2006). In the study, maize yield was found to reduce exponentially with increased depth of topsoil removal ($r^2 = 0.99$, $P < 0.01$) with an average of 55% loss with the removal of just 5 cm of topsoil signifying the potential of soil erosion to cause appreciable crop yield reduction with just the removal of a few layers of the nutrient-rich topsoil confirming an earlier study by (Lal et al., 1994). Water erosion generally results in the removal of the nutrient-rich topsoil, thereby exposing nutrient-poor and poorly structured subsurface soil. In a study to quantify the changes in soil productivity with the depth of topsoil removal in an Iwo soil type in a simulated experiment, topsoil was incrementally removed to depths of 5, 10, 15 and 20 cm with plots without soil removal serving as control (Oyedele and Aina, 2006). We reported a decrease in soil pH with increased depth of soil removal while it was the soil bulk density significantly increased from 1.38 g cm⁻³ to 1.55 g cm⁻³ at 20 cm depth of topsoil removal. In the study, soil organic carbon, bulk density, soil shear strength, field moisture capacity, pH and exchangeable magnesium were significantly positively correlated with maize yield. Furthermore, Akinwumi, Oyedele and Tijani (2025) examined the intersection of agricultural chemical fertilizer application, surface runoff and soil erosion. The study provided how apart from reducing soil quality as an onsite impact, erosion facilitates the movement of pollutants from farmland into field environments. The study demonstrated that even before physical soil particles detachment, the erosive force of water dissolves and removes critical plant nutrients.

Soil and climate change

Soil is the largest terrestrial carbon pool and contains more carbon than in the atmosphere and all the vegetation combined. It holds approximately 1,500 to 2,400 Gt of organic carbon in the first meter alone. By comparison, the atmosphere holds about 800 Gt and terrestrial vegetation about 450 to 650 Gt (Lal, 2004). Soil serves as a sink for the sequestration of organic carbon and thus a major consideration in climate change remediation. The interaction between soil and climate

involves coupled cycles. For example, as the climate warms, the rate of decomposition of organic matter increases, reducing the amount of carbon sequestered in soil. On the other hand, higher atmospheric CO₂ increases plant growth and thus more carbon input into the soil. Oyedele, Awotoye and Popoola (2009) in a study of the carbon and nitrogen fractions in soil aggregate sizes under different land use types in an Ultisol in Nigeria showed that land use decisions strongly determine soil carbon storage. In the study, the consistently higher C and N in the fine particle size fractions indicated lower decomposition rates of the organic matter associated with clay and fine silt size particles and aggregates which also have low C:N ratio indicating that these aggregate size fractions offer protection to soil organic matter. Therefore, cultivation practices that encourage the higher contents of these fractions can be used to mitigate the impact of climate change.





Figure 5 : Topsoil (0-15 cm) distribution of (a) organic C, (b) total N and (c) C:N in aggregate size fractions as influenced by cultivation

In a study that investigated the influence of land use on CO₂ evolution from a soil using the static chamber approach, Oyedele and Tijani (2010) reported that CO₂ from the soil was significantly influenced by both soil water content and soil temperature. They also reported an annual CO₂ emission of between 14 and 18 t ha⁻¹ from the soil and concluded that measures that reduce soil temperature, such as mulching and shading or cover crops should be encouraged to mitigate against soil CO₂ emission. Tijani, Okunkenu, Afolabi, Akinde and Oyedele (2024) monitored CO₂



emission at frequent intervals and equally reported CO₂ emission from the soil reaching its peak at 12 noon, coinciding with the period of maximum daily temperature (Figure 6).

A – morning sampling; B – afternoon sampling; OMF1 – Control, OMF2 – organomineral fertilizer at 40 kg Urea-N/ha + 2.5 ton/ha OF, OMF3 – organomineral fertilizer at 40 kg Urea-N/ha + 5 ton/ha OF

Figure 6 (a & b): Effects of varied rate of organomineral fertilizer on soil CO₂ efflux among the treatments at sub-daily time scale.

Organo-mineral fertilizer at a moderate rate of 40 kg ha⁻¹ urea + 2.5 t ha⁻¹ organic manure rate was found to comparatively reduce CO₂ emission to a minimum.

Soil architecture.

Soil aggregates are the building blocks of soil. The type and the strength of soil aggregates essentially determine the ability of the soil to sustain crop production and sustain the environment. Tsidale and Oades (1989) hypothesized the hierarchical nature of soil aggregates. The theory suggested the electrochemical forces and soil minerals serve as the binding agent for microaggregates, while organic matter is the dominant binding agents at the macro-aggregate level. The organic materials include the decomposed residues of plant and animals, the bacteria and hyphae of fungi and the extra-cellular polysaccharides and mucilage that are byproducts of microbial decomposition. Oyedele, et al. (1999) studied the influence of organic matter incorporation on the aggregation of Alfisol, Ultisol and Entisol from Nigeria as affected by soil disturbance. The study examined how the addition of plant materials affects the formation and stability of soil aggregates. It highlighted the role of organic matter in binding soil particles together to form stable macro-aggregates. We observed that incorporated plant materials contributed to the pool of light fraction organic matter (LFOM) in the soil, which were later broken down by soil microbes to increase the soil contents of heavy fraction polysaccharides (HFPS) that were attached to heavy fraction soil minerals with density greater than 1.7 g cm⁻³. This study confirmed the hierarchical aggregation model which suggests that primary particles are bound into micro-aggregates, which are then glued together by transient organic agents such as plant roots and fungal hyphae into macro-aggregates. The study further demonstrated that fresh organic matter stimulated microbiological activity leading to the production of extracellular polysaccharides and fungal exudates that bound soil particles together. This led to significantly higher soil aggregate stability as measured by higher soil mean weight diameter (Tables 3 and 4). The study concluded that tillage is one of the drivers of soil structural decline in Nigerian agricultural soils and that this decline can be mitigated or even reversed by strategic incorporation of crop residues. We also reported that reduced tillage, when combined with high organic matter inputs, can maintain the needed macro-aggregate soil fraction.

Table 3 : Effects of organic matter incorporation and soil disturbance on soil properties at the end of 41 days incubation (Oyedele et al., 1999)

Soil parameter	Plant material treatment			LSD _{0.05}	Soil disturbance treatment		
	No plant material	Barley straw	Green ryegrass		Undisturbed	Disturbed	LSD _{0.05}
Light fraction organic matter (g kg ⁻¹)	5.63	8.03	6.70	1.32	6.88	6.70	n.s.
Heavy fraction polysaccharides (g Mg ⁻¹)	5.44	5.34	5.50	n.s.	5.46	5.39	n.s.
Dispersible clay (g g ⁻¹)	0.534	0.399	0.327	0.077	0.378	0.462	0.063

Water stable aggregates (g g ⁻¹)	0.424	0.463	0.461	0.015	0.465	0.434	0.012
Pores < 10 µm ² (m ³ m ⁻³)	0.189	0.191	0.191	n.s.	0.186	0.194	0.004
Porosity ^b (m ³ m ⁻³)	0.500	0.535	0.526	0.010	0.527	0.514	0.009
Pores > 10 µm ² (m ³ m ⁻³)	0.311	0.344	0.335	0.012	0.341	0.320	0.010
Saturated hydraulic conductivity ^d (ms ⁻¹ x 10 ⁻⁵)	8.7	10.8	10.3	1.13 ^c	11.0	8.9	1.11 ^c

No significant interactions between treatments were found

^aWater retained at -300 hPa matric potential

^bWater retained at saturation.

^cDifference between water retained at saturation and at -300 hPa matric potential

^dGeometric mean

^eLeast significant quotient

Table 4: Soil type differences for a number of parameters as determined at the end of the incubation period (day 41)

	Soil types			
	Iwo	Itaganmodi	Owode	LSD _{0.05}
Light fraction organic matter (g kg ⁻¹)	7.01	6.13	6.70	1.32
Heavy fraction polysaccharides (g Mg ⁻¹)	6.19	5.34	5.50	n.s.
Dispersible clay (g g ⁻¹)	0.263	0.399	0.327	0.077
Water stable aggregates (g g ⁻¹)	0.495	0.463	0.461	0.015
Pores < 10 µm ³ (m ³ m ⁻³)	0.219	0.191	0.191	n.s.
Porosity ^b (m ³ m ⁻³)	0.548	0.535	0.526	0.010
Pores > 10 µm ² (m ³ m ⁻³)	0.329	0.344	0.335	0.012
Saturated hydraulic conductivity ^d (ms ⁻¹ x 10 ⁻⁵)	10.1	10.8	10.3	1.13 ^c

^aWater retained at -300 hPa matric potential

^bWater retained at saturation.

^cDifference between water retained at saturation and at -300 hPa matric potential

^dGeometric mean

^eLeast significant quotient

Oyedele and Pini (2009) used the distribution of soil organic carbon in different soil aggregate size fractions to confirm the hierarchical soil aggregation theory and also to identify the soil aggregate fractions that protect soil organic carbon from being mineralized using a Nigerian Alfisol and an Ultisol. The study provided strong evidence for the hierarchical model of soil aggregation in Tropical soils. It shows that the macro-aggregates were held together by the labile (younger) carbon, while the micro-aggregates are held together by the older more humified carbon and mineral bonds. Thus, when these soils are tilled, the macro-aggregates break first releasing the labile carbon which oxidises and releases CO₂ to the atmosphere. The study demonstrates that to understand if a soil is truly sequestering carbon on a long term, one must look at the carbon stored within the micro-aggregates and the clay-size fractions, as this represents the carbon that is physically and chemically protected from decomposition.

Akinde, Oyedele, Tijani and Ibitoye (2020) evaluated the impact of six distinct land use types on the distribution of soil organic matter fractions. The study was conducted under arable fallow, secondary fallow, paddock, cacao, teak, and oil palm plantations in Ile Ife. The total organic carbon (TOC), particulate organic carbon (POM) and macro-aggregate and micro-aggregate associated carbons were analysed in soils under these different land use types. They demonstrated that soil micro-aggregates contained more stable carbon while the unstable fractions were more in the macro-aggregates. (Table 5). It was concluded that forest-like agroecological systems promote the formation of aggregate fractions that physically protect soil organic matter from rapid oxidation. This study confirmed that land use conversion from natural forest to arable farming drastically reduces the POM fraction, which is the engine of soil fertility. In a study to evaluate the contribution of ecosystem engineers to soil architecture, Oyedele et al. (2006) compared the changes in soil properties in earthworm casts and native soils under three agricultural systems (secondary forest, cacao plantation and arable fallow). The parameters analysed were soil pH,

organic carbon, total nitrogen, cation exchangeable capacity, and soil mean weight diameter as an indicator of soil stability. It was observed from the study that earthworms' casts were more highly enriched in plant nutrients by ratios of 1.9 to 2.6 compared to the native soils. This was also observed by Fonte and Six (2010) and Lubbers et al. (2013). The earthworm casts were physically more stable than the parent soil while the quality of the casts however also depended on the land use. This shows that the quality of available surface litters dictated the quality of the casts formed. It was concluded that earthworms act as biological fertilizers by mining organic matter and minerals, concentrating them into a form that is more available to plants. Their activity is a primary mechanism for restoring soil structure and porosity. Farm practices that protect earthworm populations by avoiding heavy pesticides use and encouraging leaving plant residue on the soil surface is therefore recommended to maintain soil health.

Table 5: Organic matter fractions and stable aggregates of earthworm casts compared with the parent soil

Soil horizon	Organic matter				Soil stability indices	
	SOM (gkg ⁻¹)	LFOM (gkg ⁻¹)	LFOM/SOM (%)	HFPS (mg kg ⁻¹)	DC (g clay kg ⁻¹)	WSA (g clay kg ⁻¹ soil)
Cast	52.12 ^a	5.2 ^b	9.98 ^b	92.9 ^a	53.6 ^a	691.4 ^a
A (0-15 cm)	31.4 ^b	8.5 ^a	27.00 ^a	47.1 ^b	52.5 ^a	677.9 ^a
B (50-80 cm)	9.8 ^c	0.7 ^c	7.14 ^b	33.69 ^b	36.8 ^b	657.3 ^b

Means followed by the same lower-case letters are not significantly different at P<0.05 according to Duncan's multiple range test. SOM, soil organic matter (%), LFOM. Light fraction organic matter, HFPS, heavy fraction polysaccharides; DC, dispersible clay; WSA. Water stable soil aggregates > 250 µm

Spatial variability of soil physical properties

Soils are formed from parent rocks and minerals mixed with organic materials under the influence of climate operating over several years (Buol, et al., 2011). Quantitative evaluation of soil resources and their to management responses require precise information on the spatial variability of the soil properties (Oyedele, Nurudeen and Aina, 1992). The parent rock and minerals that result in soils are diverse and thus soil properties vary spatially on the field. The variability caused by pedogenetic processes is known as regionalized, with nearby areas considered to be more similar than areas that are farther away (van Es, 1993). Classical statistics are inadequate in explaining soil variabilities due to the spatial dependency of the properties. Geostatistical techniques which are based on the regionalized variables theory of Matheron (1971) provide a tool for the quantitative evaluation of the spatial dependence of soil properties and can be used for interpolation of the spatially dependent variables. Oyedele, Nurudeen and Aina (1992) evaluated the spatial dependence of soil moisture content, cone index and gravel content under an oil palm plantation in Ile Ife. We established significant spatial variabilities of the soil properties studied which all fitted to the hyperbola model with varying spatially dependent parameters (Table 6). Furthermore, Nurudeen, Oyedele and Aina (1993) used the variogram parameters of the soil cone index, moisture content and gravel content to interpolate using Kriging technique for unsampled locations with errors of estimation less than 3.3% in a demonstration of the accuracy of the method for interpolation of spatially variable soil properties (Figure 7). In soil mapping, different soil properties are rated and the ratings combined to produce maps that represents a general soil suitability. This often over- or underestimate soil suitability. In a study to move beyond the traditional rating methods, Oyedele, Amusan and Olu Obi (1996) employed the multiple-variable indicator technique (MVIK) to integrate several soil properties into a single probability index. The raw data of soil properties were converted into a binary indicator based on

whether it met a threshold. After combining these indicators into a single index, geostatistical interpolation using Kriging technique was used to estimate values for unsampled locations and a suitability contour map was generated showing the likelihood of a location meeting all criteria (Figures 8a and 8b). This method can incorporate both quantitative data and qualitative factors such as landscape position, making it valuable for both agricultural planning and environmental monitoring. This is a novel method which has now formed the basis of most mapping software.

Table 6: Spatially dependent parameters of the soil properties

Soil property	Range	Sill ($C_0 + C_1$)	Nugget as % of sill	Nugget as % of S^2	Variogram model
Gravel content (%)	38	85	10.14	5.08	Hyperbola
Moisture content (%)	36.5	29.5	9.49	9.21	Hyperbola
Cone index	38	22.3	6.73	5.82	Hyperbola




Figure 7: Isarithmic map of % gravel for (a) Kriged estimates and (b) actual samples





Figure 8: Contour map of (a) soil suitability indicator and (b) soil depth indicator


Impact of land use on soil physical properties

The effect of land use on changes in soil organic matter fraction was studied by comparing soil organic matter fractions under six different land use types in the OAU, Teaching and Research Farm. Soil organic matter fractions distributed within different soil aggregate sizes is an indication of the ability of soil to protect soil organic matter from mineralization and indirectly the ability of the soil to store carbon (Akinde, Oyedele, Tijani and Ibitoye, 2020a). The results from this study showed that the microaggregates with sizes between 0.063 mm – 0.25 mm had the highest contents of heavy fraction organic matter (HFOM), light fraction organic matter (LFOM) and highest C:N ratio all indicating that this fraction offered the highest protection against the mineralisation of SOM compared to the macroaggregates. The studies demonstrate that land use type and soil aggregate size are key determinants of soil organic matter quality and stability (Figures 9 and 10). In another study by Akinde, Oyedele, Tijani and Ibitoye (2020b), variation in soil properties due to land use types was examined, and it was revealed that cultivated soils were more compacted with bulk density as high as 1.55 g cm⁻³ due to repeated tillage and also with least stable soil aggregates. The soil under secondary forest was least compacted while having the highest hydraulic conductivity, indicating its highest ability to transmit water (Tables 7).



OM= Organic matter, HFOM= Heavy organic matter fraction, LFOM= Light organic matter fraction. Means with the same alphabet in each fraction are not significantly different at 5% probability according to Duncan's Multiple Range Test.

Fig. 9: Distribution of densimetric soil organic matter fractions in soil aggregate-size classes at the soil depths



PL= Paddock land-use, OL= Oil palm land-use, TL= Teak land-use, SFL= Secondary forest land-use, CL= Cacao land-use, CCL= Continuously cropped land-use, C:N ratio= Carbon to nitrogen ratio, HFOM= heavy fraction organic matter, LFOM= light fraction organic matter. Means with the same alphabet in each fraction are not significantly different at 5% probability according to Duncan's Multiple Range Test.

Fig. 10: Carbon to nitrogen ratio of the density fractions across the land-use types at the soil depths

Table 7: Effect of land use types on selected soil properties at two soil depths

Land use type	0-15 cm				15-30 cm			
	Db (g cm ⁻³)	Cone index (kg m ⁻²)	K _{sat} (cm s ⁻¹)	WSA (%)	Db (g cm ⁻³)	Cone index (kg m ⁻²)	K _{sat} (cm s ⁻¹)	WSA (%)
PL	1.14c	4.02b	0.004b	81.07c	1.48ab	8.37a	0.014b	76.91b
OL	1.34b	5.65a	0.006b	90.02b	1.45b	11.05a	0.004b	89.03a
TL	1.12c	3.38bc	0.009a	94.23ab	1.45b	5.34a	0.003b	91.20a
SFL	1.04cd	2.24d	0.022a	95.77a	1.40b	5.39a	0.02a	75.24b
CL	0.94d	3.19bc	0.012a	80.69c	1.51ab	6.48a	0.002b	70.17bc
CCL	1.55a	2.71cd	0.020a	69.65d	1.63a	9.04a	0.002b	62.76c

PL= Paddock land-use, OL= Oil palm land-use, TL= Teak land-use, SFL= Secondary forest land-use, CL= Cacao land-use, CCL= Continuously cropped land-use, Db= Bulk density, K_{sat} = Saturated hydraulic conductivity, WSA= Water stable aggregate. Means with the same alphabet on a column are not significantly different at 5% probability according to Duncan's Multiple Range Test.

Soil water management

Water is the primary agent of nutrient transport in soil and a regulator of soil temperature. It is the universal solvent and fundamental for all chemical and biological processes taking place within the soil (Hillel, 2003). The amount of water present in soil or soil wetness is quantitatively measured either on weight or volume basis. Different methods have been used for the measurement of soil water content and they include the sampling and drying method, the electrical resistance method, the neutron scattering method, the gamma ray attenuation technique and the time-domain reflectometry (TDR) method. The TDR method is a relatively new method for measuring soil water content and it is based on the high dielectric constant of water (Hillel, 2003). The dielectric constant or relative permittivity of a material is the tendency of its molecules to orient themselves in an electrostatic force field. The dielectric constant of water is normally about 81, while that of soil solids varies between 4 and 8 and that of air is about one (Jackson and Schmidt, 1989). Therefore, the dielectric constant of soil is largely determined by the fractional volume of water present in the soil. The TDR method involves sending a step voltage through parallel rods inserted into the soil and measuring the time taken to reflect the signal back into the device. The time interval is directly related to the volume of soil water. Topp and Davis (1985) developed a mathematical relationship for this as follows:

$$v = \frac{c}{(\epsilon_r)^{0.3}} \dots \dots \dots 5$$

Where c is the propagation velocity of an electromagnetic wave in free space (3×10^8 m/sec), ϵ_r is dielectric constant, and v is the velocity of propagation. Furthermore, Topp *et al.* (1980) upgraded this to a simple empirical equation relating the soil permittivity directly to water content thus:

$$\theta = -5.3 \times 10^{-2} + 2.9 \times 10^{-2} \epsilon_r - 5.5 \times 10^{-4} \epsilon_r^2 + 4.3 \times 10^{-6} \epsilon_r^3 \dots \dots \dots 6$$

Based on this empirical equation, Mayowa, Oyedele and Shittu (2015) designed and fabricated a TDR-based sensor with data logging capability for the measurement of soil water content (Plates 2 and 4). This equipment was used by Shittu *et al.* (2006) to determine the soil water budget required for the computation of crop coefficients and the water use efficiencies of some indigenous vegetables namely, *Solanum scabrum*, *Amaranthus viridis*, *Solanum macrocarpon* and *Solanum nigrum* in rainforest and derived savanna agro-ecological zones of Nigeria in a lysimeter study.



Plate 2: Fabricated datalogger and the TDR water sensor installed in the soil



Plate 3: Fabricated data logger for monitoring soil water content



Plate 4: Setup of lysimeter instrumented with fabricated datalogging TDR soil water sensor

Soil strength, trafficability and impacts on crop production

Soil strength refers to the capacity of a soil to withstand applied forces without deforming or structural failure (Baumgartl and Horn, 1991). The two major factors that define soil strength are the force of cohesion which is the internal molecular attraction that holds soil particles together and the internal friction which is the resistance to sliding between individual soil particles. The strength of a soil is determined by its water content, bulk density, soil texture and organic matter content. It is determined as either the tensile strength or the shear. Shittu, Oyedele and Babatunde (2017) in a field study, determined the influence of rates of organic matter incorporation on soil strength at different soil water contents (13%, 14%, 16%, and 19%) and how these impacted on maize yield on an Alfisol in Nigeria. The soil strength was reported to increase with soil depth and decrease with soil water contents at the time of tillage.




Figure 11: Soil strength (Mpa) at depths in response to rates organic matter incorporation

It was concluded that timing of tillage on this soil to coincide with water content close to its field capacity which improved field efficiency by reducing the drudgery and fuel used in cultivation in addition to increased maize yield. Babatunde, Oyedele, Adekun and Shittu (2016) used an innovative method to study the effect of soil strength on seedling emergence. Indirect methods have been previously used to infer the impact of soil strength on seedling emergence. We designed a datalogging device fitted with pressure transducers to continuously monitor the pressure exerted by the germinating maize and okra seedlings as they emerged from differentially compacted soil (Plate:). The pressure transducer showed that the okra needed to exert greater pressure at each level of soil compaction for their emergence, ranging from 0.3 mbar to 7.3 mbar and from 10 mbar to 0.03 mbar to 1.73 mbar for maize seedlings. The emergence rates of maize and okra ranged respectively from 54% and 38% at maximum compaction to 99% and 80% at no compaction.




Plate 5: Set up showing (a) board (c) fabricated datalogger and (c) pressure transducer in seed pots

Table 6: Emergence rates of maize and okra seedlings in response to soil compaction

Time (Hr)	Compaction level	Soil Strength	Pressure exerted by maize seedlings	Pressure exerted by okra seedlings	Maize emergence	Okra Emergence
		kg/cm ²	Millibars	Millibars		
43	0	0.92	0.03	0.33	99	80
44	0	0.92	0.03	0.17	99	80
45	0	0.92	0.42	0.12	99	80
46	0	0.92	0.25	0.33	99	80
43	5	14.56	-0.67	4.87	82	81
44	5	14.56	-0.56	5.21	82	81
45	5	14.56	-0.61	5.35	82	81
46	5	14.56	-0.56	4.87	82	81
43	15	18.78	-0.17	4.11	62	50
44	15	18.78	-0.22	4.31	62	50
45	15	18.78	0.06	4.35	62	50
46	15	18.78	-0.11	3.85	62	50
43	25	20.50	1.67	6.82	54	38
44	25	20.50	1.50	7.12	54	38
45	25	20.50	1.25	7.13	54	38
46	25	20.50	1.25	6.28	54	38

Capillary irrigation innovation


And every plant of the field before it was on the earth, and every herb of the field before it grew: for the Lord God had not caused it to rain upon the earth, and there was not a man to till the ground. But there went up a mist from the earth, and watered the whole face of the ground. Genesis 2:5-6.

This passage is the Biblical record of a time before it started to rain on the planet earth and verse 5 mentioned how water came to wet the soil through capillary action. The rate and direction of water in soil is governed its energy state or the potential difference. Water generally moves from a region of higher potential to a region of lower potential. Based on this, we designed and fabricated a PVC pipe-based capillary irrigation device for use on the field (Oyedele et al., 2016). It consists of a buried PVC pipe that serves as the reservoir for water and capillary tubes which are filled with graded sand through which water is conducted upwards and directly to plant roots in response to evapotranspiration demand. Since water will move from a region of higher potential to a region of lower potential, water inside the reservoir made of PVC pipes is at higher potential compared to the dry soil above it. The graded sand column inside the capillary tube serves as the conducting medium for the water to move from the reservoir to the drier soil above. The driving force for the movement is the evapotranspiration (representing combined water loss from evaporation at the soil surface and crop transpiration). Water will therefore move from the reservoir through the capillary tubes to replenish water loss at the soil surface and at the root zone. Water will only move out of the pipe when there is a demand for it. It is simple to install and it is maintenance free and it is compatible with most arable crops. The system can also be used to supply crop nutrients through fertigation thus ensuring better utilization of fertilizer reducing the cost of fertilizer application. Nutrients will move from the reservoir to the root zone by mass flow

and diffusion (Plate 7). The device significantly increased the yield of leafy amaranth by about 130% compared to traditional sprinkling irrigation (Figures 12a and 12b). In addition, the capillary irrigation method saved about 8 million litres of water per annum per hectare compared to the manual sprinkling irrigation.



Plate 7: The setup showing (a) sketch, (b) installation and (c) operationalization of the capillary irrigation device



T1 = Control, T2 = Capillary + fertigation, T3 = Spot, T4 = Drill, T5 = Fertigation, T6 = Broadcast at 80 kg N/ha
Irrigation by sprinkler in all except the capillary (T2).

Figures 12a and b: Litres of water required per kg of fresh amaranth in humid forest and humid savanna locations in Nigeria

Soil and recreation

Soil not only serves the purpose of agriculture but also supports recreational activities. Sports such as golf, football, handball, and others require good turf. In order to improve the management of the Obafemi Awolowo University main football field, we have collected a seven-year geospatial data of soil properties and turf health to identify sections of the football field that is most impacted by leg traffic during football games. This will enable the recommendation of a more precise management practices to maintain good quality turf. Preliminary analyses of data revealed an unusual pattern which is the gradual loss of the finer soil particles over a relatively short duration. This means that the soil of the field is becoming more sandy (Figure 13). This is surprising because

soil erosion of such magnitude is not expected under grass cover. On a careful observation of past activities on the field, it was suspected that the fine soil particles are being lost due to wind turbulence generated at the landing and taking off of helicopters especially when the field is dry (Plate 8). Mr. Vice-Chancellor Sir, On the basis of this, I recommend that the University should a construct a helipad for the use of our VIP guest, since it is likely we will be many more of them.




Fig 13: Spatial distribution of soil sand content in the OAU main football field in (a) 2019 and (b) 2025.



Plate 8: Wind erosion from an helicopter landing on the OAU main football field

The Indigenous Vegetables project

In 2011, in conjunction with the Osun State University, Cape Breton University and University of Manitoba, Canada, our multi-disciplinary team consisting of Agronomists, Soil Scientists, Plant Pathologists, Plant Physiologist, Food Scientist, Agricultural Economists, Agricultural Extension Scientists, and Sociologist among others, won a multi-million dollars grant from IDRC/CIDA, Canada for study on the underutilized indigenous vegetables (UIVs) of southwest Nigeria (NICANVEG). I was the principal investigator for the Obafemi Awolowo University team. We identified and documented 50 underutilized vegetables that were almost going into extinction out of which we developed detailed agronomic best cultivation practices for 10. We trained about 1,405 farmers of whom approximately 50% were women, on best agronomic practices for the UIVs. These techniques enable them to increase their yields by about 40%. We launched a very effective radio campaign tagged *Ramo Elefo* which reached thousands of listeners daily. Based on the success this project, IDRC/GAC, Canada awarded a follow-up grant of CAD\$4.8 million for the team now joined with the University of Parakou, Benin Republic and University of Saskatchewan, Canada to scale up the earlier project. The project was on synergising fertilizer micro-dosing and indigenous vegetable production to enhance food and economic security of West African farmers. The study was carried out in both Nigeria and Benin. We introduced agronomic technology of fertilizer micro-dosing combined with organic manure that significantly reduced the cost of vegetables production and also improved soil health. An innovative irrigation technology was introduced that saved up to 8 million L/ha of irrigation water per annum for vegetables production. Other innovations developed during the course of the project included (i) low-cost sun drier and charcoal powered oven for converting fresh vegetable leaves to dry ones that stored 12 months for without losing taste or their nutritional values; (ii) a protocol for the extraction of polyphenol from vegetables and their use for fortification of local foods, juices and pastries; (iii) demonstration of the ability of polyphenols from *Telfaria occidentalis* and *Amaranthus viridis*, to reduce the blood pressure of spontaneously hypertensive rats (SHRs) by up to 40 mmHg; (iv) fortification of bread and *chin-chin* with vegetables to extend their shelf-life by up to three days. The project reached a total of 337,931 farmers (50.6% female). The total number of farmers (vegetable producers) reached in Nigeria was 229,750 (51.6% female) while in Benin, 108,181 farmers (46.3% female) were reached. Furthermore, after 36 months of the project and through farmers training and publicity on the indigenous vegetables (IVs), the revenue obtained from marketing these IVs increased by 119.7% in Nigeria and 90.1% in Benin Republic (Adebooye, Akponikpe, Oyedele, Peak and Aluko, 2018). In addition, five (5) Ph.D., 8 M.Sc. and 15 B.Sc. students were sponsored by the project, while 2 technical staff and five academic staff were sponsored for short-term trainings in Canada (Plates 8 and 9).



Plate 9: MICOVEG Team meeting in (a) Winnipeg and (b) Cotonou



Plate 10: NICANVEG Team members with farmers at (a) Ilejemeje Ekiti and (b) Omi-Okun, Ile Ife

Soil health and environment health

Soil health is defined as the continued capacity of soil to function as a vital living system, by recognizing that it contains biological elements that are key to ecosystem function within land-use boundaries (Doran and Zeiss 2000; Karlen et al. 2001). These functions are able to sustain biological productivity of soil, maintain the quality of the surrounding air and water environments, as well as promote plant, animal, and human health (Doran et al. 1996). Soil health is the expression of the ability of a soil to meet its range of ecosystem functions as appropriate to its environment. This term is used to soil's ability to sustain plant and animal productivity and diversity, maintain or enhance water and air quality, and support human health and habitation. It underscores the fact that soil is not just a growing medium; rather it is a living, dynamic, and ever-so-subtly changing environment.

Effect of bush burning on soil and the environment

Most subsistent farmers in Nigeria use fire for bush clearing and weed control. We investigated the effects of fire on soil properties and maize production (Ibitoye, Oyedele, Tijani, Gbadegesin and Akinde, 2019). The study tested the impact of bush burning at an average temperature of 200°C and 400 °C on soil properties. The post-fire soil sorptivity which is the ability of soil to absorb water by capillarity was decreased with the temperature of the fire while soil water repellence or hydrophobicity increased with the temperature (Tables 9 and 10). This combination is expected to restrict entry of water into the soil during rainfall or irrigation and thus result in increased erosion after burning. However, burning improved the immediate soil chemical properties and maize yield. Further studies using standard erosion plots (Plate 3) (Ibitoye, Tijani, Adeboye, Akinde and Oyedele, 2024; Ibitoye et al., 2024) however confirmed the contrary. We recorded runoff of 13.36 mm, 10.80 mm and 12.78 mm in the first, second and third seasons respectively under burning temperature of 200 °C and average of 12.88 mm, 7.63 mm and 11.96 mm in the respective seasons under 500 °C burning temperatures. The soil loss from the plots followed a similar trend thus indicating the advantage of prescribed bush burning used by traditional farmers. However, the concentration of nitrates in the runoff significantly increased with the burning temperature in the three seasons of the study. This is a major worry for surface water contamination.

Table 9: Effects of fire intensity on soil sorptivity after burning for the first and second cropping seasons

Treatment	Days after burning			Days after burning		
	1	2	3	1	2	3
	cm hr ⁻¹			cm hr ⁻¹		
	Season one			Season two		
Control	46.4a	12.5a	59.0a	53.6a	123.8a	178.6b
200 °C	73.8a	85.1ab	64.1a	50.7a	35.3b	461.8a
400 °C	68.9a	27.5b	94.5a	11.3b	44.7b	372.2a

Table 10: Effects of fire intensity on soil water repellence after burning for the first and second cropping seasons

Treatment	Days after burning			Days after burning		
	1	7	42	1	7	42
	cm s ⁻¹			cm s ⁻¹		
	Season one			Season two		
Control	2.49a	2.05a	3.52a	9.03a	2.41a	3.29a
200 °C	38.30a	8.39a	5.67a	14.39a	5.68a	1.19a
400 °C	7.05a	28.45a	2.87a	27.80a	5.22a	1.52a



Plate 11: PVC bounded runoff plot installed on the field to harvest runoff and sediment loss into a 25 litre bucket

Soil contamination and environmental toxicity

A major contribution to the contamination of soil environment is uncontrolled industrial wastes or effluents. In a study on a major metal smelter in Jos, Nigeria, Oyedele et al. (1995) investigated the contribution of lead (Pb) smelting to soil and vegetables surrounding the factory. The total Pb in soil and vegetables in the environment was analyzed by flame atomic absorption spectrometry and XRF spectrometry. It was observed that the extractable Pb contents of the soil and vegetables in the vicinity of the smelter were far above the WHO recommended values with the toxicity potentials ranging from 0.15 to 26 at distances away from the smelter (Table 7).

Table 11: Mean extractable Pb contents of soils around a lead smelter in Nigeria ($\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$) and their toxicity potential

Soil depth (cm)	Distances from the factory					
	Admin block	Factory site 10 m	50 m	Farm 1 100 m	Farm 2 150 m	Farm 3 200 m
0	680	5600	1160	4560	560	70
0-5	445	3600	587	4400	265	53
5-10	95	3160	64	4000	192	238
10-20	59	2050	68	3560	60	100
20-30	190	680	<0.001	1812	38	48
			Toxicity potential for extractable lead			
0	1.70	11.40	1.33	0.18	14.00	2.41
0-20	0.15	8.90	0.15	0.25	5.13	0.17

In another study on the contributions of open municipal waste dump to soil heavy metals load and changes in soil physical properties, Oyedele, Gasu and Awotoye (2008) evaluated soil samples from major dump site in Ile Ife. The dump site soils and associated vegetations were found to be enriched with Zn, Cu and Cd and these varied with the age of the dump sites (Figures 11). The transfer factor (TF) which is an expression of the degree of plant enrichment of heavy metals was least for Pb (0.05) and highest for Cd (0.80). Similarly Awotoye, Oyedele and Anwadike (2010) reported a high TF for maize and okra planted in a field with co-applied Ogun rock phosphate and cow dung (Table 12). It is known that rock phosphates fertilizers are a source of soil heavy metals (Oyedele et al. 2006). This study indicated that animal wastes may enhance the release and uptake of these metal by plants, underpinning the need to monitor the soil and plant heavy metals metal contents when wastes are used as an alternative to chemical fertilizers.




Figure 11: Influence of the age of dump site on the distribution of heavy metal ($\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$) in the topsoil (0-15 cm) during the wet season (b) and

In another study, we used x-ray spectroscopy to track how phosphorus from manure and urea moves in soil during the growth of *Amaranthus cruentus* and *Solanum macrocarpon* to compare fertilizer micro-dosing methods with the traditional broadcasting or side dressing with standard rates (Olaleye, et al., 2020). The study demonstrated that micro-dosing reduced nutrient mining from the soil while maintaining high yield, suggesting that micro-dosing is more sustainable and environmentally friendly compared to broadcasting.

Table 12: Transfer factor of heavy metals from soil to *Zea mays* and *Abelmoschus esculentum* as influenced by ORP and cow dung applications

Plant specie	Treatment	Pb	Zn	Cu	Cd	As
<i>Zea mays</i>	- ORP-CD	11.40	32.70	36.40	0.20	0.90
	ORP	14.70	35.60	25.60	0.30	0.60
	ORP-CD ₁	17.90	35.90	20.00	0.30	0.02
	ORP-CD ₂	32.10	34.40	17.30	0.30	0.10
	ORP-CD ₃	25.40	43.20	20.30	0.30	0.80
	ORP-CD ₄	19.20	43.30	22.70	0.30	0.50
<i>Abelmoschus</i>	- ORP-CD	14.40	39.80	19.20	0.40	1.30
	ORP	22.80	44.40	29.80	0.50	1.70
	ORP-CD ₁	18.20	35.70	22.90	0.30	1.30
	ORP-CD ₂	25.00	39.40	14.80	0.50	2.10
	ORP-CD ₃	12.60	44.10	20.70	0.30	0.90
	ORP-CD ₄	16.20	38.10	15.00	0.20	1.20

ORP = Ogun Rock Phosphate, CD = Cow dung, ORPCD₁₋₄ = Represent Ogun Rock Phosphate and cow dung at different levels, 1, 2, 3 and 4 represent tons of cow dung. - ORP-CD represents no organic rock phosphate and cow dung application.

Concluding remarks

Mr. Vice-Chancellor Sir, in this presentation I have tried to draw our attention to how physics provides the platform for defining and quantifying soil architecture and predicting the possible implications of soil disturbance on soil processes and the capacity of the soil to provide ecosystem functions. Soil physical shape and pore configurations influence the rate of chemical and biological processes taking place within the soil. Agents of soil degradation includes soil erosion, cultivation under unfavourable soil water regime, soil contamination with pollutants, and soil exhaustion due to over use. All these negative impact food security and soil health. A heathy soil is a productive soil; a productive soil leads to a food secure nation, and secure nation is able to stand its ground in face of external aggression.

Therefore, I recommend that there should be a National Soi Information system be established. Nigeria must urgently build a comprehensive soil database covering physical properties (trafficability, cone index, Atterberg limits). This will support agriculture, infrastructure, and national defence. There should be a legislature to enforce a national soil conservation policy to guide on crop and soil specific tillage practices.

Teaching and Community Service

Teaching

Mr. Vice-Chancellor sir, I have had the benefit of teaching some of the best brains and God has helped me to bring the best out of them. It is always my delight to see them performing excellently, around the world. Apart from hundreds of undergraduates, I have successfully supervised 24 Master's and 7 Ph.D. candidates, many of who are now professors in Nigeria, USA and Canada. I have successfully linked many of my students to scholarships and grants for further studies apart from the one awarded by NICANVEG and MICROVEG which I was the Principal Investigator.

Town and gown

In response to complaints by tomato farmers in Wasimi area of Osun State who suddenly started to observe a drastic reduction and even failures in the yield of their tomato, our team consisting of Professors Adeagbo Amusan, Kola Adekunle and I, visited their farms. The studies we conducted revealed the cause of premature abortion of tomato flowers to be due to nematode infestation. With a little grant from the University Town and Gown unit, our team set up an experimental site on the farmers' field and successfully developed a farmer-friendly indigenous method with the use of African Marigold plant to control the nematodes (Ogundele, Oyedele and Adekunle, 2016). The farmers were able to successfully continue with their tomato farming.

Mr. Vice-Chancellor Sir, my distinguished audience, To the glory of God, I have had some opportunities that have equipped me to be the person I am today. I had World Bank funding to complete the research work towards my PhD at the University of Reading, but I was repeatedly denied an entry visa. This eventually became a blessing in disguise for me after I completed the PhD. The fund was later converted into a postdoctoral study for me at the Danish Institute of Plant and Soil Science (now Faculty of Agricultural Sciences, Aarhus University), Denmark, where I had a very rich research experience under the guidance of Per Schjonning.

Administratively, I had the opportunity of serving in various capacities in the university. I was a member of the Departmental and Faculty Examination Committees (1991 – 2003), Acting Head of Department of Soil Science and Land Resources Management, substantive Head, Department of Soil Science and Land Resources Management, Dean, Faculty of Agriculture, Representative of the University Senate on the Governing Board of the Institute of Agriculture, Research and Training (IAR&T). I was two-term representative of Senate on the University Governing Council. I have chaired various university ad-hoc committees.

Acknowledgment

I acknowledge my mentor, Professor Patrick Oladipo Aina, who supervised my M.Phil. and my Ph.D. Degrees. He is like a father to me. I have learnt a lot from him, his patient, uncommon intelligence, his words of encouragement have been of tremendous help in my academic career. I am forever grateful. I also acknowledge Prof. A. F. Oluwole for access to computer facilities in the Environmental Physics Laboratory of the Department of Physics during my Ph.D. study. I also acknowledge all the people I worked together with in the laboratory, Prof. Joshua Ojo, Dr. Bassey Obioh and Mr Joshua Adejumo among others.

My appreciation goes to every member of the Department of Soil Science and Land Resources Management, who have contributed to academic progress – late Prof. T.I. Ashaye, who supervised my undergraduate project, Prof. Okusami, who assisted me in soil sampling during my undergraduate research, Prof. Adeagbo Amusan who is like an elder brother to me, for his advice.

I specially thank Prof. Adepetu for his wisdom and golden counsels. Prof. Olu Obi and Prof. Olayinka for their support during the different stages of my academic career.

I am grateful to all academic, administrative and technical staff of the Department of Soil Science and Land Resources Management for your love, support, and cooperation. I appreciate all my research partners, Prof. A.B. Ayanwale, Prof. O. C. Adebooye, Prof. J.O. Osunbitan, Prof. K.A. Adekalu, Prof. O.B. Aluko, Prof. O.B. Adeboye, and others with whom I have had productive academic collaborations.

I thank all my students and mentees who have made my academic journey very interesting so far. Many of them are making waves in different parts of the world. It is always my joy to receive news of your success and exploits. Some of them are professors in Nigeria, Canada and USA.

International

As I mentioned earlier, there has always been the hand of God ordering my life. On a faithful Saturday in 1996, I worked late in the laboratory and I sent a mail to listserv group where I posted my resume. On Monday morning I received a mail titled "It is a small world". It was from Dr. Olalekan Akinremi, then with Agri-Food Canada. Someone printed and gave him the resume I posted when he noted I was a Nigerian. Coincidentally, his immediate boss was on sabbatical in the same institute and was my next-door neighbour in the office. Akinremi also discovered that he was equally supervised by Prof. P. O. Aina. Frank Larney who gave him my resume had earlier hosted my current host in Denmark when he visited Canada in the earlier summer. This string of coincidences initiated a lasting friendship and a productive academic collaboration between us that has blossomed with years. Through this collaboration, many staff and students from the Department of Soil Science received trainings at the University of Manitoba and has given birth to an OAU community at the University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Canada. This collaboration gave birth to the IDRC research grants.

I acknowledge support of various granting agencies that enabled me to accomplish in my academic journey. I acknowledge the support of the International Foundation for Science (IFS), Sweden, the United Nations University, Institute for Natural Resources, (UNU-INRA), the Abdusalam International Center for Theoretical Physics (ICTP), Trieste, Italy for inducting me into the Associateship scheme and funding my several stays in the Centre for research studies through 2003 and 2007, Training in Italian Laboratory (TRILL) programme of ICTP and the CNR-ISE, Pisa, Italy for hosting me. I also acknowledge the International Development Research Center (IDRC) Canada and the Canadian Development Agency, the Department of Foreign Affairs, Trade and Development (DFATD) and Global Affairs Canada for their support.

Church

My Vice-Chancellor Sir, permit me to recognize many people who have contributed to my spiritual development. First, I thank God for Pastor and Pastor (Mrs.) E.A. Adeboye, the General Overseer of RCCG and all my spiritual leaders in the mission. I am grateful for the leadership of my Pastor of the Region, Pastor Ajisola, the Pastor in Charge of Osun Province 7, Pastor Temitope and his assistants – Pastor O. Salami, the APICP Administration and Pastor (Prof.) A. B. Ayanwale, APICP CSR.

Family

I appreciate my parents, Mr. Simeon Abegunde Oyedele and Chief (Mrs.) Hannah Idowu Oyedele, for giving the best education they could hardly afford. To my siblings, Chief Moses Bamidele Oyedele, Mrs. Abigail Balogun, who sacrifice had to drop out of school so that she take of us, despite that she was brightest among us; to my immediate elder brother TPL Kayode Oyedele, who was my home teacher, and ensured I was always have advance knowledge ahead of my classmates. I remember my late younger brother, Toyin Oyedele this moment. My appreciation to by brother from another womb and his wife, Evangelist and Mrs Fola-Alao. I am grateful to the Pastor and Mrs Sanmi-Kayodes, Mr and Mrs Ojo-Seriki. To my in-laws, my mother in-Law, Pastor and Mrs Hassan, I am deeply grateful. To my children from another men's loins, Wale Hassan, Tunmise Hassan, Ifeoluwa Oyediran, Kunle Orimolade, I say thank you. My biological children, Ayomikun, Jesutomisin, Teminijesu and Mojoyinjesu, thank you for enduring those years when I was either always on the road (air) or working in the office. Thank you for making us proud. To my wife, my partner, encourager and a jewel of inestimable value, thank you for your love and care. I give glory to God almighty for the gift of life and for lifting me up from from miry clay and setting my feet on the solid. I sing unto You: *eba yin Oluwa halleluyah, eni ba more Jesu ko ba mi gbega...*

References

Abdullah, M., Al-Ansari, N., Adamo, N., & Sissakian, V. K. (2020). Soil salinity of Mesopotamia and the main drains. *Journal of Earth Sciences and Geotechnical Engineering*, 10(4), 221–230. ISSN: 1792-9040

Akinde, B. P.; D. J. Oyedele, F.O. Tijani, R.G. Ibitoye (2020) Soil organic matter fractions under different agricultural land-use in Ile-Ife, Nigeria, *Tropical Agriculture* 41 (3216), 030149-163

Akinde, B. P., A. O. Olakayode, **D. J. Oyedele**, F. O. Tijani (2020) Selected physical and chemical properties of soil under different agricultural land-use types in Ile-Ife, Nigeria, *Heliyon* 6 (9):1-7, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e05090>

Akinwumi, F.T., Tijani, F.O., Oyedele, D.J. (2025) Forms of nitrogen in runoff and sediments under a *Solanum macrocarpon* (L.) Moench farm after urea fertilizer application *EQA-International Journal of Environmental Quality* 68: 50-65

Awotoye, O.O., Oyedele, D.J. and Anwadike, B.C. (2011). Effects of cow-dung and rock phosphate on heavy metal content in soils and plants. *Journal of Soil Science and Environmental Management* 2(7): 193-197.

Babatunde K.M., Oyedele D.J., Adelekun M.F., and Shittu K.A. (2016) Seedlings Emergence in Compacted Soil as Measured by a Pressure Transducer, *International Journal of Plant & Soil Science* 10(3):1-9 DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2016/23118

Beach, T., Luzzadder-Beach, S., Dunning, N., Jones, J., Lohse, J., Guderjan, T., Bozarth, S., Millspaugh, S., & Bhattacharya, T. (2006). A history of human adaptation to environmental change in the Maya Lowlands. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 25(15-16), 1880–1900. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2005.11.002>

Buol, S. W., Southard, R. J., Graham, R. C., & McDaniel, P. A. (2011). *Soil Genesis and Classification* (6th ed.). Wiley. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470960622>

Baumgartl, T. and Horn, R. (1991). Effect of aggregate stability on soil strength. Proceedings of the 12th International Conference of the International Soil Tillage Research Organisation (ISTRO), Ibadan, Nigeria, 201-208.

Darcy, H. (2004) *The Public Fountains of the city of Dijon*.(p. Bobeck, Trans.). Kendall/Hunt Publishing Company

Dexter, A. R. (2004). Soil physical quality: Part I. Theory, effects of soil texture, density, and organic matter, and effects on root growth. *Geoderma*, 120(3-4), 201-214.

Doran, J. W. And Zeiss, M.R. (2000). Soil health and sustainability: managing the biotic component of soil quality. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 15(1): 3-11.

Fonte, S. J., & Six, J. (2010). Earthworms and litter management contributions to ecosystem services in a tropical agroforestry system. *Ecological Applications*, 20(4), 1061–1073. <https://doi.org/10.1890/09-0795.1>

Hillel, D. (2003). *Environmental Soil Physics*. Academic Press. Pp 771

Horn, R., & Baumgartl, T. (2002). Dynamic consolidation processes of arable soils. In *Soil Physical Quality: Part I. Theory, effects of soil texture, density, and organic matter, and effects on root growth*.

Ibitoye, R.G. D.J. Oyedele, F.O. Tijani, L.A. Gbadegesin, B.P. Akinde (2019). Effect of bush burning intensity on selected soil physical and chemical properties in Ile Ife, Nigeria. *Moor Journal of Agricultural Research* 20(2); 20-35.

Ibitoye R.G., Tijani F. T., Adeboye O.B. , Akinde B.P., Oyedele D. J. (2024) Prescribed fire and grass mulch impact on selected soil properties and amelioration potentials of amendments under an agricultural field in Ile-Ife, Nigeria. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 244(106249).

Ibitoye, R. G., Tijani, F. O., Adeboye, O.B., Akinde, B. P., Oyedele, D. J. (2024) Influence of prescribed fire intensity and grass mulch on soil erosion and runoff under rainfed maize in Nigeria. *Catena* 238:1-6 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2024.107905>

Karlen, D.L., Andrews, S.S., and Doran, J.W. (2001). Soil quality: Current concepts and applications. *Advances in Agronomy*, 74:1-40

Lal R. (2004). Soil carbon sequestration impacts on global climate change and food security. *Science*, 304(5677): 1623-1627.

Lubbers, I.M, van Groeningen, K.J. Fonte, S.J. Six, J., Brussard, L. And van Groeningen, J.W. (2013). Greenhouse gas emission from soil increased by earthworms. *Nature Climate Change*, 3(5): 487-494

Fonte, S.J. and Six, J. (2010). Earthworms and litter management as determinants of aggregate stability and organic matter dynamics in intensive tropical agroecosystems. *Biogeochemistry*, 100(1-3): 23-39.

Jackson, T. J., & Schmugge, T. J. (1989). Passive microwave remote sensing of soil moisture. *Advances in Space Research*, 9(1), 225–235. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0273-1177\(89\)90163-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0273-1177(89)90163-0)

Oyedele, D.J. (1988). Erosion characteristics in relation to overland flow, physico-chemical properties and chemical amendment of soils. M.Phil. Thesis, Obafemi Awolowo University, pp 133.

Ogundele, R.A., Oyedele, D.J. and Adekunle, O.O. (2016). Management of *Meloidogyne incognita* and other phytonematodes infecting *Amaranthus cruentus* and *Telferia occidentalis* with African marigold (*Tagetes erecta*) and Siam weed (*Chromoleana odorata*). *Australasian Plant Pathology*, 45:537-545.

A. Olaleye, D. Oyedele, P. Akponikpe, G. Kar, D. Peak (2020) Molecular Scale Studies of Phosphorus Speciation and Transformation in Manure Amended and Microdose Fertilized Indigenous Vegetable Production Systems of Nigeria and Republic of Benin, *Soil Systems* 4 (1), 5

Oyedele, D. J. and Aina P. O. (1989). Erosion characteristics of selected southwestern Nigerian soils in relation to physicochemical properties, overland flow and chemical conditioning. *Ife Journal of Agriculture*, Ile-Ife, Nigeria, Vol 11 (1&2) 1-10

Oyedele, D.J., C. Asonugho and O.O. Awotoye. (2005). Heavy metals in soil and accumulation by edible vegetables after phosphate fertilizer application. *Electronic Journal of Environmental, Agricultural and Food Chemistry*. [http://ejeafche.uvigo.es/5\(4\)2006/003542006.pdf](http://ejeafche.uvigo.es/5(4)2006/003542006.pdf) , Spain

Oyedele, D.J. and Aina, P.O. (2006). Response of soil properties and maize yield to simulated erosion by artificial topsoil removal. *Soil and Plant*, 284: 365-373

Oyedele D.J., O. O. Awotoye and S. E. Popoola. 2009. Soil physical and chemical properties under continuous maize cultivation as influenced by hedgerow trees species on an Alfisol in South Western Nigeria. *African Journal of Agricultural Research* 4: 736-739

Oyedele, D.J. and Pini, R. (2010). Distribution of organic carbon and total nitrogen in aggregates size fractions in a southern Nigeria Ultisol under different agricultural land use. *Proceedings of the 19th World Congress of Soil Science*

Oyedele, D. J., Nurudeen, O. O. and Aina, P. O. (1992). Geostatistical study of surface soil properties under an oil palm plantation. I. Kriging. *Ife Journal of Agriculture*, Ile-Ife, Nigeria, Vol 15 (1 & 2) 45-55.

Oyedele, D. J. Obioh, I. B., Adejumo, J. A., Oluwole, A. F., Aina, P. O. and Asubiojo, O. I. (1995). Lead contamination of soils in the vicinity of a smelter in Nigeria. *Science of the Total Environment*, Elsevier, The Netherlands, 172 (2-3): 189-195

Oyedele D. J., Schjønning P., Sibbesen, E. and Deboz K. (1999) Soil aggregation and soil organic matter fractions as affected by tillage and incorporation of organic materials. *Soil and Tillage Research*, Elsevier, Netherlands, 146, 1 -12.

Oyedele, D.J.; P. Schjønning and A.A. Amusan, (2006). Physicochemical properties of earthworm casts and uningested parent soil from selected sites in southwestern Nigeria. *Ecological Engineering*, Elsevier, 28: 106-113

Oyedele, D.J., Gasu, M.B. and Awotoye, O.O (2008). Changes in soil properties and plant uptake of heavy metals on selected municipal solid waste dump sites in Ile Ife, Nigeria. *African Journal of Environmental Science and Technology* 3(5): 107-115.

Oyedele, D.J., Asonugho C., Awotoyo, E.E. (2006). Leafy vegetable contamination with heavy metals associated commercial phosphate fertilizer application. *Journal of Environment and Food Chemistry*, 5(4): 1446-1453.

Oyedele, D.J. and Tijani F. O. 2009. Spatial and temporal variability of soil water contents at different depths on an experimental field plot on an Alfisol in southwestern Nigeria. *International Agrophysics*, Poland, 24(2):171-176

Richards, L. A. (1931). Capillary conduction of liquids through porous mediums. *Physics*, 1(5), 318–333.

Shittu, K. A., Oyedele, D. J., & Babatunde, K. M. (2019). "Determination of crop coefficient and water use efficiency of African Eggplant (*Solanum macrocarpon*) and Amaranthus (*Amaranthus cruentus*)." *Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 64(1).

Shittu, K. A., & Oyedele, D. J. (2019). "Growth, yield and water use efficiency of nitrogen fertilized *Amaranthus cruentus* in Ile-Ife, Nigeria." *Nigeria Journal of Soil Science*, 29(2).
Shittu, K. A., Oyedele, D. J., & Tijani, F. O. (2020). "Variability in physical, chemical and hydraulic properties of agricultural soil in Southwest Nigeria." *International Journal of Plant & Soil Science*, 32(11).

Shittu A.A., Oyedele D.J. & Babatunde K.M. (2017). The effects of moisture content at tillage on soil strength in maize production. *Egyptian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences* 4:139-142

Shittu, K. A., Oyedele, D. J., & Ojo, A. S. (2022). "Impact of Tillage Practices on Properties of Soil, Evapotranspiration and Productivity of Cowpea in Nigeria." *Journal of Agricultural Sciences (Belgrade)*, 67(4).

Tisdall, J. M., & Oades, J. M. (1982). Organic matter and water-stable aggregates in soils. *Journal of Soil Science*, 33(2), 141–163. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2389.1982.tb01755.x>

Hillel, D. (2003). *Environmental Soil Physics*. Academic Press, pp 769

Tijani F.O., Okunkenu A.S., Afolabi F., Akinde B.P., Oyedele D.J. (2024) Evaluating unsaturated hydraulic conductivity of a tropical soil amended with organomineral fertilizer at various time scales in a screenhouse in Ile-Ife, Nigeria, *Ife Journal of Science* 26 (2), 521-535

van Es, H. M. (1993). Evaluation of temporal, spatial, and tillage-induced variability for parameterization of soil infiltration. *Geoderma*, 60(1), 187–199.

Young, I. M., & Crawford, J. W. (2004). Interactions and Self-Organization in the Soil-Microbe Complex. *Science*, 304(5677)

Vogel, H.J., Weller, U., and Ippisch, O. (2010). Quantifying morphological and functional relevant parameters of soil structure using x-ray microtomography. *Methods in Soil Analysis: Part I. Physical Methods*, 5(1):1-25